

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,222	10/30/2003	Van Dyke Garner	6037P2726	9784	
23504	7590 04/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WEISS & MOY PC			SZEKELY, PETER A		
4204 NORTH BROWN AVENUE SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding..

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/697,222	GARNER, VAN DYKE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter Szekely	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	arch 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-18 and 26-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-18 and 26-33</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
,	on Papers					
	·					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) D Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/697,222 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-8, 13-16, 18 and 26-29 are objected to because of the following: "at least one should be followed by a singular, a plural should be followed by "are" and a plural should not be followed by a third person singular. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 12-18 and 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a dry powder admixture, does not reasonably provide enablement for liquid, gaseous or wet powder admixture. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. See page 6, lines 21-22. "Capable for use as a dry powder" does not exclude other forms. Only dry powder admixture, which is lime free, is acceptable.
- 4. Claims 1-1-, 12-18 and 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an dry powder admixture which contains biodegradable ingredients only, does not reasonably provide enablement for an admixture containing non-biodegradable ingredients. The specification does not

Art Unit: 1714

enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. See page 6, line 35 and page 7, line 1. The claimed rubbers and vinyl esters are not biodegradable. Applicants' response that the claimed admixture embodiments in the amounts specified conforms to the specification is not understood. Please, explain how SBR becomes biodegradable.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 2, 4, 6, 12, 14, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 2 contains improper Markush language. Proper Markush language, when the last conjunction is "and" is "selected from the group consisting of". Always. Without exception. Claim 4 contains the tradename "vinyl versatate". "Versatic acid" is a tradename also. See Grant & Hackh's Chemical Dictionary, page 619 (enclosed). Claim 6 is indefinite because "less than about" in line 2, vinyl versatate in line 5 and improper Markush language (the "and" is missing) in the penultimate line. Applicants' failed to explain the meaning of "crumb form" in claim 12. Is it powder, particulate, granular or agglomerate? Crumb rubber is vulcanized reground rubber. An explanation is required. Claim 14 and 29 (2X) contain vinyl versatate and in the last line of claim 30, "not less than 4 hours minutes" is incomprehensible.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,222 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

9. Claims 1-10, 12-18, 26-29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kono et al. 6,165,263, in view of Emig et al. 4,039,345, Tokumoto et al. 4,710,526 or Dragon et al. 6,075,075.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 3/20/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants are claiming a composition, which is to be added to cement. Kono et al. contain the emulsifiers claimed and they are still there after polymerization. What their role is and what they will do at a later date, when the composition will be finally blended with cement is immaterial. Only claims 30-33 claim a cement composition, the rest claims an admixture for cement. Furthermore, applicants have not proved that the composition of Kono et al., as modified by the secondary references, would not accomplish the tasks, which applicants' claimed composition is capable of achieving. The ratios of ingredients per 1600 lbs of cement in claims 1-10, 12-18 and 26-29 are meaningless, since there is no cement present in those claims. The rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/697,222

Art Unit: 1714

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,222 Page 6

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 2/25/06